

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

*Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park
Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside*

AGENDA

The next meeting of the
BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE (BPAC)
will be as follows.

Date: Thursday, February 24, 2011
7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.
Place: San Mateo City Hall
330 West 20th Avenue
San Mateo, California
Conference Room C (across from Council Chambers)

PLEASE CALL TOM MADALENA (599-1460) IF YOU ARE UNABLE TO ATTEND.

- | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. | Call To Order | Action
(Grocott) | |
| 2. | Pledge of Allegiance | (Grocott) | |
| 3. | Public Comment On Items Not On The Agenda | Presentations are
limited to 3 minutes
per speaker. | |
| 4. | Minutes of the January 27, 2011 Meeting | Action
(Grocott) | Pages 1-2 |
| 5. | Update on the Development of the San Mateo
County Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian
Plan | Information
(Hoang) | Pages 3-4 |
| 6. | Member Communications | Information
(Grocott) | |
| 7. | Adjournment | Action
(Grocott) | |

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

*Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park
Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside*

NOTE: All items appearing on the agenda are subject to action by the Committee. Actions recommended by staff are subject to change by the Committee.

Other enclosures/Correspondence

- None.

If you have any questions regarding the C/CAG Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee Meeting Agenda, please contact Richard Napier at 650-599-1420 or Tom Madalena at 650-599-1460.

NOTE: Persons with disabilities who require auxiliary aids or services in attending and participating in this meeting should contact Nancy Blair at 650 599-1406, five working days prior to the meeting date.

The following BPAC meeting will be held on Thursday March 24th, 2011.

Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC)
Meeting Minutes
January 27, 2011

1. Call to Order

Chair Grocott called the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) Meeting to order at 7:01 pm.

Members Present:

Karyl Matsumoto, Julie Lancelle, Naomi Patridge, Cory Roay, Lucy Wicks, Steve Schmidt, Frank Markowitz, Paul Grantham, Cathy Baylock, Ken Ibarra, Ian Bain, Marge Colapietro, Cathleen Baker, David Alfano

Staff/Guests Attending:

Tom Madalena, John Hoang, Steve Vanderlip, Pat Giorni, David O'Connell, Al Meckler, Caryl Gay, Lauren Ledbetter, Liz Song, Carlos Babcock, Steve Lubin, Mike Harding, Susan Wheeler

3. Public Comment On Items Not On The Agenda

Steve Vanderlip underscored the difficulty cyclists have crossing 101.

Pat Giorni stated that she would like to see it the whole operation for Bike to Work Day go back to the Peninsula Traffic Congestion Relief Alliance (commute.org).

4. Minutes of October 28, 2010 Meeting

Motion: Member Colapietro moved/Member Mosqueda seconded approval of the October 28, 2010 minutes. Motion carried unanimously with member Alfano abstaining.

5. Update on the development of the San Mateo County Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan

John Hoang, C/CAG staff, presented an update. The update discussed three elements, the countywide bikeway network, pedestrian focus areas and the implementation strategy. Staff has received input from the public. The administrative draft is completed and has been distributed to city staff and C/CAG has requested staff comments by January 21st.

Member Schmidt asked whether the overcrossing money is planning money. The answer was yes, it is planning money.

Member Alfano –Project ranking includes safety improvements that address collision history, is it only reported collisions? Answer was yes, only includes reported collisions.

Member Schmidt – One criteria that is not mentioned is Caltrain. It would be helpful to explain the hole in the East West Corridor on page 13 of the packet, which is due to Caltrain. He would like the Alameda De Las Pulgas to be identified as a priority corridor.

Pat Giorni, member of the public, mentioned that the plan is a blue print provided by C/CAG that is used by 21 jurisdictions and that she wanted to take objection to the fact that a letter from the Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition (SVBC) stated that the BPAC is not a true BPAC.

Carlos Babcock, member of the public, from the Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition (SVBC) mentioned that SVBC provided a letter providing policy recommendations.

6. Recommendation for the Joint Call for Projects for San Mateo County Bicycle and Pedestrian Program FY 2012 and 2013

John Hoang presented this item and stated that the recommendation is for a 2-year funding cycle to align with the San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA) bicycle and pedestrian program.

Member Colapietro mentioned that the schedule is of concern. It doesn't make sense that the workshop is three weeks out from the call for projects so we may want to revise.

Member Alfano mentioned that he is concerned about the timing of the applications to the BPAC.

Member Baylock mentioned that we should extend the offer to have the TA Selection Committee come to the BPAC presentation meeting.

Member Mosqueda stated that the scoring criteria for the Transportation Development Act (TDA) Program doesn't lend itself to score planning projects.

Motion was made by member Alfano and seconded by member Baker to limit the number of projects from each jurisdiction to three. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion was made by member Ibarra and seconded by member Colapietro to approve the staff recommendation and to invite and strongly recommend that the TA Selection Committee attend the BPAC presentation meeting as well as the BPAC scoring meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

7. Review and approval of the 2011 BPAC meeting calendar

Motion was made by member Schmidt and seconded by member Baylock to approve the 2011 BPAC meeting calendar. Motion carried unanimously.

8. Member Communications

None

9. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 9:11 pm.

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: February 24, 2011
To: Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC)
From: John Hoang
Subject: Update on the Development of the San Mateo County Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan

(For further information contact John Hoang at 363-4105)

RECOMMENDATION

That the BPAC receives an update on the development of the San Mateo County Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan.

FISCAL IMPACT / SOURCE OF FUNDS

\$189,000 / TDA Art. 3, Measure A

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

The goal of the new San Mateo County Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan (CBPP) project is to update the previous bicycle plan and expand the document to include a new pedestrian component with countywide significance. This project update status is the fifth provided to the BPAC. The meetings allow the BPAC the opportunity to provide input at key stages in the Plan development process.

CBPP Development Outreach

During the initial phase of the CBPP development process, beginning in July 2010, the 20 cities and County were asked to complete a survey indicating the state of bicycle and pedestrian projects within each respective jurisdiction. As needed, follow-up interviews and meetings were held with jurisdictions. As part of the process, the C/CAG BPAC members were given the opportunity to provide input and guidance towards the development of the CBPP at the committee meetings. A Public Open House was held in October 2010 to allow members of the public, bicycle advocacy groups, and local agency staff to review and discuss the Countywide Bikeway Network (CBN), pedestrian demand analyses and improvement needs, and the vision/goals/policies. Additional input (e-mails and letters) was received from individuals and bicycle advocacy groups.

Administrative Draft CBPP

All the comments received from the outreach efforts indicated above were taken into consideration in the development of the Administrative Draft CBPP. The purpose of the Admin Draft, which was only distributed to the 20 cities and County in January, was to provide the jurisdictions (project sponsors)

the opportunity to review the document and make the necessary revisions prior to generating the Public Review Draft CBPP.

Public Review Draft CBPP

Additional comments from the review of the Administrative Draft were incorporated into the Public Review Draft CBPP. The Public Review Draft will be made available to the public the week of February 21, 2011. For this meeting, the BPAC will be presented with the Public Review Draft CBPP. The Draft will also be presented to the C/CAG Board at its March 2011 meeting and will continue to be available for public review through March. The date to receive final comments from the BPAC and the general public will be in late March (exact date to be determined).

ATTACHMENTS

- Public Review Draft San Mateo County Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan (provided separately)